

Learner Assessment of Clinical Teacher (LACT)

About your assessment of teachers

- It should be based on the described encounter(s) only.
- The LACT form is to provide feedback and commentary on teaching performance.
- Feedback to teachers is an important professional obligation of learners.
- Your assessments are confidential with only anonymized aggregated summaries reported.

How we will use the information

- Aggregated data is used to evaluate the teacher/faculty, rotation, and sites on a regular basis.
- Low scores are centrally monitored for needed confidential follow-up.
- Results of LACT scores are used to monitor, support and improve teaching practices for individual teachers, sites and clinical departments.

Rating scale:

- 1-5 (low to high)
- Not Applicable (n/a) is permissible for all ratings except "OVERALL"
- Overall rating of 3 is the "Acceptable level of performance" for this assessment form

Serious Incidents (i.e. Discussing, Disclosing or Reporting Mistreatment)

- This LACT form is **not** designed as a rapid response mechanism for serious incidents.
- If you have experienced or witnessed learner mistreatment or a serious incident of unprofessionalism in
 the MD Program/PGME learning environment or the MD Program/PGME community, please use the
 following link to learn more about our supports and resources (including an anonymous or confidential
 online tool designed to allow medical learners at the Temerty Faculty of Medicine at University of
 Toronto to disclose or report mistreatment): Learner Mistreatment

					No	Yes	
This was a <u>visiting (non-UofT based)</u>	electi	ive			\circ	\circ	
Teaching Format	0	Ambulatory/clinic	0	Diagnostics 🔘	Emergency/u	rgent	
	\bigcirc	Inpatient/ward	\bigcirc	Office	Operating roo	m	
	\bigcirc	Seminar/workshop	\bigcirc	Simulation	Virtual care (i. phone, video)		
	0	Other (please specify):					
Teaching contact Please estimate the amount of		Brief (e.g. single clinic, single lab/microscope session, a few hours on-call, short OR shift)					
contact you had with the teacher using the description below:	\bigcirc	Moderate (e.g. 2-4 clinics, $1-2$ weeks in lab/microscope sessions, 1-2 on-call shift, 1-2 OR shifts, $1-2$ weeks rotation)					
		Extensive (e.g. 5+ clinics, 3+ weeks in lab/microscope sessions, 3+ OR or on-call shifts, 3+ weeks rotation)					

		1	2	3	4	5	
	The teacher/faculty provides effective clinical teaching that stimulates learners to build knowledge and skills safely while offering graded responsibility for patient care.	Ineffective, unavailable, or impediment to learning	0	Good learning support matched to ability levels	0	Superior educational experience responsive to learner's level	0
	Comments						
(0						11
	7.	Poor	Unsatisfactory			Superior	N/A
	The teacher/faculty created responsive relationships with effective feedback to support learner and teacher collegiality, collaboration and colearning. Comments	Ineffective, insufficient or negative communication support or feedback	2	Respectful, responsive, available, and constructive	4	Excellent communication, collaboration, and detailed coaching	0
	The teacher/faculty was a positive role model for the learner as a clinician, teacher and professional.	Poor 1 Poor role model causing ineffective or	Unsatisfactory 2	Acceptable 3 Suitable role model in all areas	Good 4	Superior 5 Exemplary role model in all areas	N/A
	Comments	negative educational experience				demonstrating the highest standard	
		1//					
							/
		Poor	Unsatisfactory	Acceptable	Good	Superior	N/A
	The teacher/faculty created an effective learning climate providing clear expectations and balancing learning/teaching/assessments effectively.	Reluctant to teach, set appropriate expectations, and address learning climate issues		Willing to teach and include learners espectfully with appropriate expectations in a positive learning climate	4	Enthusiastic, respectful, and proactive in ensuring positive climate and effective learning to learner needs regarding case mix	0
	Comments						
					'		1.
		Unsatisfac Teache 1		cher Acceptal Teache 3		eacher Tea	erior cher 5
site/lo e (i.e., co respon	ALL rating for this teacher/faculty at this cation/time onsidering clinical teaching; respectful and sive relationships and effective feedback; al and professional model; learning climate	Significant limitations t suitability or teacher		s in Effective teacher	pro tea suj po:	ry An ective, excep	otional

Would you like to support this teacher for recognition and/or an award? No No No No No No No No No N	Describe STRENGTHS of this teacher/faculty		
Would you like to support this teacher for recognition and/or an No No No award? This is an additional assessment of an elective teacher and I have previously completed my evaluation of the elective experience Save Submit	Actions or Areas FOR IMPROVEMENT		
Would you like to support this teacher for recognition and/or an No No No award? This is an additional assessment of an elective teacher and I have previously completed my evaluation of the elective experience Save Submit			
No N	OTHER Comments		
No N			
This is an additional assessment of an elective teacher and I have previously completed my evaluation of the elective experience Save Submit	teacher for recognition and/or an No		
This is an additional assessment of an elective teacher and I have previously completed my evaluation of the elective experience Save Submit	awaiu:	No	Υ
	This is an additional assessment of an elective teacher and I have previously completed my evaluation of the elective experience	0	
	Save Submit		
,0,			
) '	